

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                 | FILING DATE               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR  | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/789,063                                      | 02/27/2004                | Robert W. Marquis JR. | P50523-C3           | 7357             |  |
| GLAXOSMITH                                      | 7590 07/26/2007<br>HKLINE | ,                     | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| Corporate Intellectual Property - UW2220        |                           |                       | TRUONG, TAMTHOM NGO |                  |  |
| P.O. Box 1539<br>King of Prussia, PA 19406-0939 |                           |                       | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| 11B 011.3 m20                                   |                           |                       | 1624                | 1624             |  |
| •   | ·                         | •                     | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|   |                           | •                     | 07/26/2007          | PAPED            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| • • • •   |   | •   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|   | 10/789,063  | MARQUIS ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Tamthom N. Truong   | 1624  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply   | n appears on the cover sheet with   | the correspondence address -  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the provision of the maximum statutory Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report.  Beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI statute, cause the application to become ABA | ATION.  bly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | 11 April 2007.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| ·   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice und  | der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.  | 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 and 15-18 is/are pending in to 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,15 and 18 is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) 16 and 17 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 15 is/are pending in the subject to restriction and 15 is/a | ndrawn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co   | accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand orrection is required if the drawing(s  | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docur</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docur</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a</li> </ul>  | ments have been received.<br>ments have been received in Ap<br>priority documents have been rureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | plication No eceived in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | ., <b>[ ]</b>   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>   | Paper No(s)   | mmary (PTO-413)<br>/Mail Date<br>ormal Patent Application   |  |  |  |  |

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4-11-07 has been entered.

Applicant's amendment has overcome the previous rejection of 112/2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph. Upon review of the amended claims, the following rejections are found necessary.

Claims 12, 14, and 26-33 are cancelled.

Claims 7-11, 13, 19-25 and 34 are withdrawn.

Claims 1-6 and 15-18 are pending.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, First Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

1. Scope of Enablement: Claims 1-6, 15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for making and using compounds of formula I wherein R<sup>4</sup> is mostly R<sup>5</sup>OC(O)-, does not reasonably provide enablement for making

Application/Control Number: 10/789,063

Art Unit: 1624

and using compounds of formula I wherein R<sup>4</sup> is another group. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The breadth of the claims: Claim 1 recites formula I wherein R<sup>1</sup> represents the elected group of R<sup>4</sup>-NR'-CHR<sup>3</sup>-Z-. Variable R<sup>4</sup> represents several moieties having R<sup>5</sup> which in turn represents an extensive list of moieties and rings or ring systems. With the combination of R<sup>1</sup>-R<sup>4</sup> and all possible substituents thereon, formula I encompasses a large number of compounds.

The guidance provided: The specification provides several species of the elected group of formula I in which variable R<sup>4</sup> is mainly R<sup>5</sup>OC(O)-. Starting materials for adding the side chain (i.e., R<sup>1</sup>) are consistent with the group of R<sup>4</sup>-NR'-CHR<sup>3</sup>-Z- wherein R<sup>4</sup> is R<sup>5</sup>OC(O)-.

The specification is silent as to the availability of necessary reactants needed to prepare a compound of formula I with a side chain having R<sup>4</sup> as another moiety or a substituent outside of working examples. Note, In re Howarth 210 USPQ 689; Ex parte Moersch 104 USPQ 122, for the need to show starting material sources commensurate with the claims' scope.

Regarding the biological activity, the specification only details various bioassay methods without specifically indicating which compounds have been tested. Assuming all compounds in the working examples have been tested, their activity cannot be extrapolated to other compounds of formula I wherein the side chain has R<sup>4</sup> as a moiety other than R<sup>5</sup>OC(O)- as there is no evidence of recognized biological equivalency for such diverse groups.

Thus, the specification does not provide sufficient enablement commensurate with the broad Markush group of formula I.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,063

Art Unit: 1624

The state of the prior art: As evident by Marquis et. al., only pyrrolidinone compounds having a side chain corresponding to the elected R<sup>1</sup> in which R<sup>4</sup> is R<sup>5</sup>-OC(O)- can inhibit ketone of the cysteine protease cathepsin K.

The relative skill of those in the art: Even with the advanced training, the skilled medicinal chemist and/or clinician would have to carry out extensive research to make an array of compounds of formula I, and select an effective compound from such a large Markush group for inhibiting cysteine protease. Not only one has to determine the inhibitory activity on cysteine protease, but also *in-vivo* activity to establish an LD<sub>50</sub>, therapeutic index and pharmacokinetic profile for each compound. Given a large Markush group of the claimed formula I, such a task would require a tremendous amount of effort, time and resource.

The predictability or unpredictability of the art & The quantity of experimentation necessary: The pharmaceutical art has been known for its unpredictability due to various conflicting pathways, or biological factors that are sometimes genetically unique to individuals. In the instant case, the specification does not provide starting materials for making compounds of formula I with various groups represented by R<sup>4</sup> and substituents thereon. It also fails to provide biological data for using all compounds of formula I for inhibiting cysteine protease. The fact that screening for cysteine protease inhibition may be routine does not preclude a finding of nonenablement given the lack of test data and the scope of claims as previously discussed. Note that in University of Rochester v. G.D. Searle & Co., 68 USPQ 2d. 1424 at 1438, the screening for over 600 compounds was deemed to be undue. Applicant's scope far exceeds this number.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,063

Art Unit: 1624

Thus, with the large Markush group of formula I, without the guidance for starting material sources of various R<sup>4</sup> groups, undue experimentation is necessary for making such an array of compounds as well as establishing biological activity for said compounds to be cysteine protease inhibitors.

### Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 16 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Said claims are drawn to species which are not taught or fairly suggested by the prior art of record.
- 3. Non-elected Subject Matter: This application contains claims 1-6 and 15-18 are still drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on 10-3-05. A complete reply to the rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

## References cited on PTO-892

4. The cited US patents (US 6,232,342 and US 5,998,470) show the state of the prior art.

While they teach a side chain corresponding to the instant R<sup>1</sup>, they fail to teach or fairly suggest the *pyrrolidinyl* or *pyrrolidinone* core.

Art Unit: 1624

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamthom N. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-0676. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T and Th (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent' Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tamthom N. Truong

Examiner

Art Unit 1624

JAIVIES U. WILSON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

7-19-07